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## BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Invariant descriptive set theory is the study of definable equivalence relations and reductions between them.

A Polish space is a separable topological space with a compatible complete metric.

A Borel equivalence relation on a Polish space $X$ is an equivalence relation on $X$ which is Borel as a subset of $X \times X$.

## BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

A Borel reduction from an equivalence relation $E$ living on Polish $X$ to an equivalence relation $F$ living on Polish $Y$ is a Borel function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $x E y$ if and only if $f(x) F f(y)$.

By orbit equivalence relation, we will be referring to those that are induced by continuous actions of Polish groups on Polish spaces.

Say that an equivalence relation is classifiable by a Polish group $G$ if and only if it is Borel reducible to an orbit equivalence relation induced by $G$.

## BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

A Borel equivalence relation is called countable (resp. finite) if every class is countable (resp. finite)

Feldman-Moore: every countable Borel equivalence relation (CBER) is (up to a change of compatible Polish topology) an orbit equivalence relation induced by a countable group.

A CBER $E$ is hyperfinite if $E=\bigcup_{n} F_{n}$ where each $F_{n}$ is a finite Borel equivalence relation.

Slaman-Steel: A CBER is hyperfinite if and only if classifiable by $\mathbb{Z}$.

## BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

## Theorem 1 (Gao-Jackson [GJ15])

Let $\Delta$ be a countable discrete abelian group and $\Delta \curvearrowright X$ a continuous action on a Polish space. Then $E_{X}^{\Delta}$ is hyperfinite.

Hjorth: must every CBER classifiable by an abelian Polish group be hyperfinite?
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## Theorem 1 (Gao-Jackson [GJ15])

Let $\Delta$ be a countable discrete abelian group and $\Delta \curvearrowright X$ a continuous action on a Polish space. Then $E_{X}^{\Delta}$ is hyperfinite.

Hjorth: must every CBER classifiable by an abelian Polish group be hyperfinite?

Ding-Gao: Every CBER classifiable by a non-Archimedean abelian Polish group is hyperfinite [DG17]

Cotton: Every CBER classifiable by a locally-compact abelian Polish group is hyperfinite [Cot19]

## Results

## Theorem 2 (A. [All])

If $E$ is a treeable CBER then $E$ is classifiable by an abelian Polish group (in particular, $\ell_{1}$ ).

The free part of the Bernoulli shift of $F_{2}$ is treeable but not hyperfinite [JKL]. Thus the answer to Hjorth's question is no.

On the other hand:

Theorem 3 (A. [All])
Any CBER classifiable by $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ is hyperfinite.

## THE NEGATIVE ANSWER

If $E$ is treeable that means there is a Borel tree $T$ on $X$ such that $E=E_{T}$. Up to Borel bi-reducibility we can assume the treeing is locally-finite [JKL02].

A Polish edge labeling is a Polish space $L$ and a Borel injective function $\ell: L \rightarrow T$ such that for every $(x, y) \in T$, exactly one of $(x, y)$ and $(y, x)$ is in $\ell[L]$.
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If $E$ is treeable that means there is a Borel tree $T$ on $X$ such that $E=E_{T}$. Up to Borel bi-reducibility we can assume the treeing is locally-finite [JKL02].

A Polish edge labeling is a Polish space $L$ and a Borel injective function $\ell: L \rightarrow T$ such that for every $(x, y) \in T$, exactly one of $(x, y)$ and $(y, x)$ is in $\ell[L]$.

Let $V(L)$ be the vector space generated by $L$, extend $\ell$ to $L \cup-L$ in the obvious way. We call $p=l_{0}+\ldots+l_{n}$ for $l_{i} \in L \cup-L$ a path label from $x$ to $y$ iff some rearrangement of $\ell\left(l_{0}\right), \ldots, \ell\left(l_{n}\right)$ is a path from $x$ to $y$.

Notice that if $p$ is a path label from $x$ to $y$, and $q$ is a path label from $y$ to $z$, then $p+q$ is a path label from $x$ to $z$.

## THE NEGATIVE ANSWER

Consider the orbit equivalence relation induced by the action $V(L) \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}(V(L) \times X)$ by

$$
p \cdot A=\{(q+p, x) \mid(q, x) \in A\} .
$$
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## The negative answer

Consider the orbit equivalence relation induced by the action $V(L) \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}(V(L) \times X)$ by

$$
p \cdot A=\{(q+p, x) \mid(q, x) \in A\} .
$$

We have a reduction from $E_{T}$ by

$$
x \mapsto\left\{(p, y) \mid p \text { is the unique path label from } x \text { to } y, y E_{T} x\right\} .
$$

Two problems:

1. $V(L)$ is not a Polish group
2. $\mathcal{P}(V(L) \times X)$ is far from a Polish space.

## The negative answer

## Problem 1: $V(L)$ is not a Polish group

Instead, we use the free Banach space over $L$ denoted $B(L)$.

Equip $V(L)$ with the mass transportation distance norm (explain visually). Then take $B(L)$ to be the completion with respect to this norm, which is separable as long as $L$ is.

## THE NEGATIVE ANSWER

Problem 2: $\mathcal{P}(B(L) \times X)$ is far from a Polish space.

Given a Polish space $Y$, then $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ denotes the space of all closed subsets of $Y$ with the Effros Borel structure. Can be made Polish and natural continuous action $B(L) \curvearrowright \mathcal{F}(B(L) \times X)$.
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Assume $\ell: L \rightarrow T$ is stretched, which means that $d(p, q)>1 / 4$ for any two distinct path labels starting at $x$. Then

$$
x \mapsto\left\{(p, y) \mid \ell(p) \text { is the unique path label from } x \text { to } y, y E_{T} x\right\} .
$$

is in fact a map from $X$ to $\mathcal{F}(B(L) \times X)$.

## THE NEGATIVE ANSWER

## Lemma 1

Let T be a locally-finite Borel tree on Polish X. Then there is a stretched Polish edge labeling $\ell: L \rightarrow T$.

By fixing a Borel linear order on $X$ and by the fact that every standard Borel space can be made Polish, we have a Polish edge labeling $\ell_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow T$.
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Let T be a locally-finite Borel tree on Polish X. Then there is a stretched Polish edge labeling $\ell: L \rightarrow T$.

By fixing a Borel linear order on $X$ and by the fact that every standard Borel space can be made Polish, we have a Polish edge labeling $\ell_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow T$.

For $n \in \omega$, let $G^{n}$ be the graph on $L_{0}$ where $l_{0}, l_{1}$ are adjacent if there is a path of length at most $2^{n}$ between $\ell\left(l_{0}\right), \ell\left(l_{1}\right)$.

Each $G_{T}^{n}$ is a locally finite Borel graph and thus has a countable proper Borel coloring $c_{n}: L_{0} \rightarrow \omega$.

## THE NEGATIVE ANSWER

Let $\tau$ be a compatible Polish topology on $L_{0}$ in which each $c_{n}$ is continuous.

Let $c: L_{0} \rightarrow \omega^{\omega}$ be $c(l)=\left\langle c_{n}(l) \mid n \in \omega\right\rangle$.

## The negative answer

Let $\tau$ be a compatible Polish topology on $L_{0}$ in which each $c_{n}$ is continuous.

Let $c: L_{0} \rightarrow \omega^{\omega}$ be $c(l)=\left\langle c_{n}(l) \mid n \in \omega\right\rangle$.

Now let $L$ be the graph of $c$ equipped with the product topology of $\tau$ and the usual topology on Baire space.

Let $\ell$ be the projection onto the first coordinate composed with $\ell_{0}$.

## THE NEGATIVE ANSWER

Given $x, y \in \omega^{\omega}$, let $d_{B}(x, y)$ be $1 / 2^{n}$ where $n$ is least such that $x(n) \neq y(n)$.

Let $d_{0}$ be any compatible complete metric for $\left(L_{0}, \tau\right)$. Then let

$$
d\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right):=d_{0}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+d_{B}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

be the metric on $L$.
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Let $d_{0}$ be any compatible complete metric for $\left(L_{0}, \tau\right)$. Then let

$$
d\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right):=d_{0}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+d_{B}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

be the metric on $L$.

Given a path label $p \in B(L)$ of length between $2^{n}$ and $2^{n+1}$ then for any $l, l^{\prime}$ appearing in the path we have $\left(l, l^{\prime}\right) \in G^{n+1}$ and thus $d\left(l, l^{\prime}\right) \geq 1 / 2^{n+1}$.

In particular, $\|p\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[2^{n} \times 1 / 2^{n+1}\right]=1 / 4$.

## The negative answer

Every orbit equivalence relation induced by an abelian Polish group can be lifted to an action of $\ell_{1}$ by Mackey-Hjorth [see e.g. [GP03]]

This completes the proof of the first result.

## The POsITIVE ANSWER

Theorem 4 (A.)
Any CBER classifiable by $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ is hyperfinite.
Follows from ideas of earlier result (further developing ideas from work of Ding-Gao on non-Archimedean abelian Polish groups)

## Theorem 5 (A. [All23])

Any orbit equivalence relation that is $\Pi_{3}^{0}$ and classifiable by non-Archimedean abelian Polish group is Borel-reducible to $E_{0}^{\omega}$.
combined with

## Theorem 6 (Cotton [Cot19])

Any CBER classifable by a locally compact abelian Polish group is hyperfinite.

## THE POSITIVE ANSWER

Using the Hjorth analysis of Polish group actions, we get

## Proposition 1

Any orbit equivalence relation that is $\Pi_{3}^{0}$ and classifiable by a countable product of locally-compact abelian Polish groups is Borel-reducible to $E_{0}^{\omega}$.

Then for the final result we just apply the following dichotomy theorem.
Theorem 7 (Hjorth-Kechris [HK97])
If $E \leq_{B} E_{0}^{\omega}$ then either $E \leq_{B} E_{0}$ or $E_{0}^{\omega} \leq_{B} E$.

## Final thoughts

Josh Frisch and Forte Shinko claim that with an additional step one can show that in fact every CBER is classifiable by $\ell_{1}$.

We don't know if there is an equivalence relation classifiable by a TSI Polish group but not by any abelian Polish group.

## Preprint

Countable Borel treeable equivalence relations are classifiable by $\ell_{1}$ https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.01049

Thank you!
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